

Title VII at 55: Where We've Been and Where We're Going

Tyler Clemons, SPLC

J. Dalton Courson, Stone Pigman

LSBA Labor & Employment CLE

November 5, 2019

What We Plan To Cover



Title VII: text, passage, and later case law



Developments regarding sexual orientation and gender identity-based discrimination claims



Current cases pending before SCOTUS

7


Alternatives to Title VII?

The image features a white background with several thin, concentric circles. A large, solid green circle is positioned in the upper right quadrant. Inside this green circle, the text "Title VII in 1964" is written in a white, serif font. A dark gray, curved, crescent-like shape is located to the left of the green circle, partially overlapping its edge.

Title VII in 1964








Title VII Text

- It shall be an unlawful employment practice for an employer -
 - to fail or refuse to hire or to discharge any individual, or otherwise to discriminate against any individual with respect to his compensation, terms, conditions, or privileges of employment, because of such individual's race, color, religion, sex, or national origin . . .

Price
Waterhouse v.
Hopkins, 490 U.S.
228 (1989)

- Sex Stereotyping
Covered by Title VII



The background features several thin, curved lines in shades of gray, some solid and some dashed, sweeping across the frame from the top left towards the bottom right.

Oncale

The background features a series of thin, concentric circles in light gray and white, some solid and some dashed, creating a ripple effect. A large, solid green circle is centered on the page, containing the text. A dark gray, curved, teardrop-shaped element is positioned to the left of the green circle, partially overlapping it.

LGBT Employment Discrimination

LGBT Employment Discrimination Persists

- Report by the Williams Institute, UCLA Law (Nov. 2015)
- Estimated 88,400 LGBT adults part of Louisiana's workforce
- Discrimination against LGBT workers is persistent and prevalent:
 - 47% of LGBT national survey respondents reported experiencing employment discrimination (HRC survey 2015)
 - 21% nationally reported being treated unfairly by an employer in hiring, pay, or promotions (Pew Research 2013)
- Average man in a same-sex Louisiana couple earns \$32,611, which is less than the average of \$43,865 for all married men (Williams Institute 2008 study)

Transgender Employment Statistics

- National statistics:
 - Estimated 1.4 million adults in U.S. identify as transgender (0.6% of the population)
 - 78% of transgender respondents reported harassment or mistreatment at work
 - 47% reported having been discriminated against in hiring, promotion, or job retention because of their gender identity

Unsuccessful Legislative Proposals

- **Federal Level: Equality Act**
 - Formerly the Employment Nondiscrimination Act (ENDA)
 - Introduced in every Congress since 1990's
 - Cleared Senate in 2013 but no House vote
 - Cleared House in 2019, pending in Senate
- **Louisiana Level: LANA, Louisiana Nondiscrimination Act**
 - Would have prohibited employment discrimination on basis of sexual orientation or gender identity




Is Sexual Orientation
Discrimination a Form of Sex
Discrimination?

*Prowel v.
Wise Business
Forms, Inc.*
579 F.3d 285
(3d Cir. 2009)

SEX OR SEXUAL ORIENTATION DISCRIMINATION?

- High voice; didn't curse
- Well-groomed; wore dressy clothing
- Neat; filed nails instead of ripping them off with a utility knife
- Crossed legs
- Effeminate manner
- Clean car with rainbow decal
- Talked about art, music, décor
- Pushed buttons on work machine "with pizzazz."
- Coworkers called him "princess" "rosebud" and "f—"
- Message written on bathroom wall claiming plaintiff had AIDS and engaged in gay sex
- Coworkers left a feather tiara on work machine

A green speech bubble graphic with a tail pointing downwards and to the right. The word "Prowel" is written inside in a white, italicized serif font.

Prowel

- Court found that discrimination on the basis of sex occurred:
 - Plaintiff was harassed because he did not conform to his employer's vision of how a man should look, speak, and act – rather than harassment based solely on his sexual orientation.
 - No basis to find that an effeminate heterosexual man can bring a gender stereotyping claim but that a homosexual man may not.

*Dawson v. Bumble
& Bumble*
398 F.3d 211 (2d
Cir. 2005)

- Claim dismissed on summary judgment
 - Plaintiff openly lesbian
 - Alleged harassment about her appearance
 - Told that she should act in a manner less like a man and more like a woman.
 - Referred to as “Donald”
 - Accused of “wearing her sexuality like a costume”
 - Another stylist “loudly proclaimed to [her], in extremely vulgar and threatening terms, that he thought she ‘needed to have sex with a man.’”
 - Averred that employer to fire her because of her “[lesbian] attitude.”
 - Employer allegedly stated that she could not send her to New Jersey or any place outside New York City. “People won’t understand you ... you’ll frighten them.”

A green speech bubble graphic with a tail pointing downwards and to the left. It contains the text "Dawson: 'Bootstrapping'" in white. The background of the slide features faint, curved, concentric lines in a light gray color.

Dawson: "Bootstrapping"

- Court found that the Plaintiff has “conflated her claims” – court could not discern if she alleged discrimination based on “her gender, her appearance, her sexual orientation, or some combination of these.”
- “When utilized by an avowedly homosexual plaintiff, however, gender stereotyping claims can easily present problems for an adjudicator. This is for the simple reason that stereotypical notions about how men and women should behave will often necessarily blur into ideas about heterosexuality and homosexuality. Like other courts, we have therefore recognized that a gender stereotyping claim should not be used to ‘bootstrap’ protection for sexual orientation into Title VII.”

Gender Identity Discrimination as Sex Discrimination

- **Whitaker v. Kenosha Unified School District No. 1 Board of Education**, 858 F.3d 1034 (7th Cir. 2017)
 - Claim under Title IX, but courts often look to Title VII cases when construing Title IX.
 - Plaintiff was a transgender boy who sought an injunction to permit use of the boys' restroom. The trial court granted the injunction.
 - Appellate court affirmed the finding that the plaintiff was likely to succeed on the merits based on a *Price Waterhouse*-based gender stereotyping theory.

Hively v. Ivy Tech Community College

- 853 F.3d 339 (7th Cir. 2017) (en banc)
- Plaintiff alleged that she was discriminated against because she was a lesbian.
- Majority offered two rationales:
 - Comparative Method
 - Associational Method
- Majority also noted that Title VII must be interpreted in light of Supreme Court cases finding discrimination against gays and lesbians unconstitutional: *Romer*, *Lawrence*, *Windsor*, and *Obergefell*.

Hively concurrence and dissent

- Judge Posner, concurring: the statutory meaning of “because of sex” should be updated to reflect modern values to include discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation.
- Judge Flaum, concurring: Sexual orientation cannot be understood without reference to sex, which need be only a factor in the employment decision.
- Judge Sykes, dissenting: Title VII should be interpreted as understood at the time of its enactment.


*Zarda v.
Altitude
Express,
Inc.*

Photo by Kris Martin (CC BY 2.0)



Zarda

- Majority: “Sexual orientation discrimination is motivated, at least in part, by sex and is thus a subset of sex discrimination.”
 - Sex is necessarily a factor in sexual orientation.
 - Sex stereotyping: sexual orientation discrimination is predicated on assumptions of how persons of a certain sex should be
 - Associational discrimination: discrimination is based in part on the employee’s sex



Zarda:
Per Se Rationale

“Because one cannot fully define a person’s sexual orientation without identifying his or her sex, sexual orientation is a function of sex.”

A green speech bubble graphic with a tail pointing towards the bottom left. It contains the text 'Zarda: Gender Stereotyping' in white. The background of the slide features faint, curved, concentric lines in a light gray color.

Zarda:
Gender
Stereotyping

When an employer acts on the basis of a belief that men cannot be attracted to men, or that they must not be, but takes no such action against women who are attracted to men, the employer has acted on the basis of gender.

The background of the slide features a series of thin, curved lines in shades of gray, creating a sense of motion and depth. These lines are more prominent on the left side and fade towards the right.


Zarda:
Associational
Discrimination

“[W]e now hold that the prohibition on associational discrimination applies with equal force to all the classes protected by Title VII, including sex.”

Zarda
dissent

“I would be delighted to awake one morning and learn that Congress had just passed legislation adding sexual orientation to the list of grounds of employment discrimination prohibited under Title VII.... I am confident that one day...I will have that pleasure.

I would be equally pleased to awake to learn that Congress had secretly passed such legislation more than a half century ago [but] we all know that Congress did no such thing.”


The background of the slide features several thin, curved lines in a light gray color, some solid and some dashed, creating a sense of motion or a stylized globe. On the left side, there are two green rectangular shapes. The top one is a solid green bar. Below it is a larger green rectangle with a small triangular point at its bottom center, resembling a speech bubble or a callout box.

Bostock
(11th Cir.)

- Relies on Fifth Circuit's *Blum v. Gulf Oil Corp.*, 597 F.2d 936

*R.G. & G.R. Harris
Funeral Home v.
EEOC & Stephens*



The background of the slide features several thin, curved lines in shades of gray, some solid and some dashed, creating a modern, abstract design. On the left side, there are two green rectangular shapes: a smaller one on top and a larger one below it. The word "Stephens" is written in white, italicized font inside the larger green shape.

Stephens

- Defendant Funeral Home requires its public-facing male employees to wear suits and ties and its public-facing female employees to wear skirts and business jackets.
- Trial court held that application of Title VII significantly burdened the religious freedom of the funeral home's owners
- Court of appeal reversed

Stephens

- “Discrimination against transgender persons necessarily implicates Title VII's proscriptions against sex stereotyping.”
- Funeral home could not use fears of customers' biases as a basis for justifying discrimination.
- Ministerial exception did not apply; RFRA defense failed (to be discussed in a few minutes!)

Textualism or Originalism?

Is the statute to be interpreted based on its literal text?

Or, is the Court to apply the statute as it perceives Congress may have intended in 1964?

The background features a series of concentric circles in light gray, some solid and some dashed, creating a sense of depth and movement. A large, solid green circle is positioned in the center, serving as a focal point for the text. A dark gray, curved, teardrop-shaped element is located to the left of the green circle, partially overlapping it.

Religious Objections:
Masterpiece Cakeshop

*Masterpiece
Cakeshop v.
Colorado Civil
Rights Commission*


- Does Colorado law that prohibits discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation require a baker to bake a wedding cake for a same-sex couple?
- Petitioners' argument:
 - Compelling a Baker to Create Artistic Expression that Celebrates Same-Sex Marriage Violates the Free Speech Clause
 - Compelling a Baker to Design Custom Wedding Cakes that Celebrate Same-Sex Marriage Violates the Free Exercise Clause
 - Respondents Cannot Satisfy Strict Scrutiny



Masterpiece Cakeshop

■ Respondents' Argument


- This case involves a straightforward application of an anti-discrimination law to commercial sales.
- The Free Speech Clause does not authorize a business to engage in discrimination prohibited by a regulation of conduct that incidentally affects expression.
- The Free Exercise Clause does not permit a business to engage in discrimination prohibited by a neutral and generally applicable law.




Masterpiece Cakeshop

- Justice Kagan identified three potential axes where the Court may need to draw lines:
 1. Speech v. non-speech: Is baking a cake a form of artistic expression?
 2. Race v. sex v. sexual orientation: Could commercial actors discriminate on the basis of a sincere religious objection to race?
 3. Weddings v. other celebrations: What about funerals?


*Masterpiece
Cakeshop
Decision*



The background of the slide features several thin, curved lines in shades of gray, some solid and some dashed, creating a sense of motion or a stylized globe. A large green speech bubble is positioned on the left side, containing the title text.

Questions for Title VII

- Is the Court going in the direction of constitutionalizing the ability to discriminate based on a sincere religious objection?
- How would courts define “sincere”?
- Would those rights be extended to other protected classes (e.g., religion?)

The image features a large, solid green circle in the center. Inside this circle, the word "Questions?" is written in a white, sans-serif font. The background is white and decorated with several thin, concentric circles of varying shades of gray, some solid and some dashed. A thick, dark gray, curved shape, resembling a stylized comma or a swoosh, is positioned to the left of the green circle, partially overlapping its edge.

Questions?